

NO. **DF-24-18010**

IN THE MATTER OF
THE MARRIAGE OF

§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

GWENDOLYN ULIJASZ
&
JASON MCKEMIE

302ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND MOTION FOR MAY 21 HEARING

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Jason McKemie, Respondent, files this **Supplemental Emergency Notice and Motion to Include Additional Relief** at the hearing currently set before **Associate Judge Stacy Dunlop** on **Thursday, May 21, 2026, at 1:30 p.m.**, in the **301st Judicial District Court**, Dallas County, Texas, George L. Allen, Sr. Courts Building, 600 Commerce Street, 3rd Floor New Tower, Dallas, Texas 75202, and respectfully shows the Court as follows:

I. PURPOSE OF THIS SUPPLEMENT

1. This filing supplements Respondent's already-filed emergency motions, including:
 - a. Respondent's Emergency Motion for Written Discovery Rulings, Subpoena Leave, Evidence Preservation, and Immediate Hearing, filed May 11, 2026;
 - b. Respondent's Supplemental Emergency Motion for Interim Stabilization, Healthcare Enforcement, Case Management, and Objection to Pro Hac Vice Admission, filed May 12, 2026; and
 - c. Respondent's Motion to Preserve Discovery and Trial Rights, filed May 12, 2026.
2. This supplement is filed to ensure that the additional emergency issues below are expressly noticed and included for hearing.
3. This filing does not replace, narrow, waive, or withdraw any prior request for stabilization, healthcare enforcement, discovery enforcement, subpoena leave, preservation, sanctions, fee shifting, continuance, abatement, court-reporter access, written rulings, adverse inference, exclusion, or appellate/mandamus preservation.
4. Respondent files this supplement because trial remains set for June 11, 2026, and Respondent is facing immediate survival collapse, trial-capacity collapse, missing/stolen evidence repositories, unresolved healthcare access, lack of counsel funding, and active witness-interference concerns involving Christopher McNally and newly admitted or proposed out-of-state counsel Jonathan Drake Steele.

II. IMMEDIATE CASH STABILIZATION

5. Respondent requests immediate cash stabilization.
6. Non-monetary relief will not prevent immediate harm. Respondent is homeless, without reliable food, without reliable medication access, without stable transportation, without stable phone/utility access, without working trial-preparation infrastructure, and without funds to retain counsel.
7. Nothing short of immediate cash will restore the minimum ability to eat, obtain medication, travel, print, communicate, preserve property, protect evidence, and prepare for trial.
8. Respondent requests that Petitioner be ordered to deposit not less than \$75,000.00 into into the registry of the Court with immediate release authority to Respondent.
9. Compliance shall be determined only by Respondent's e-filed Notice of Full Compliance confirming the funds were actually received, available, not pending, not reversed, not offset, and received in full.
10. Screenshots, scheduled-transfer confirmations, attorney representations, pending-transfer notices, private receipts, verbal statements, or self-generated confirmations shall not constitute compliance.

III. INTERIM ATTORNEY-FEE ADVANCEMENT

11. Respondent requests immediate interim attorney-fee advancement and litigation-expense funding in an amount not less than \$100,000.00.
12. Petitioner continues to litigate with multiple attorneys, out-of-state counsel involvement, vendor resources, investigators, security personnel, movers, cleanout participants, and third-party litigation support while Respondent lacks funds to retain divorce counsel, bankruptcy/eviction/property counsel, and emergency litigation-support professionals.
13. This case cannot proceed as though both parties are similarly situated.
14. Respondent requests that Petitioner be ordered to deposit not less than \$100,000.00 in interim attorney-fee advancement and litigation-expense funding within twenty-four hours of the Court's order.
15. This relief should be without prejudice to later characterization, sanctions, reimbursement, final property division, disproportionate division, or other final allocation, and should not be treated as an advance against Respondent's share of the marital estate absent further written order.

IV. HEALTHCARE, MEDICATION, HSA/FSA, AND BENEFITS ACCESS

16. Respondent renews all prior healthcare enforcement requests and requests additional operational relief.

17. Petitioner shall not interfere with Respondent's healthcare, prescriptions, benefits, HSA, FSA, reimbursement rights, benefits cards, administrator access, portal access, pharmacy access, medical equipment, or access to care.
18. Within 12 hours of the Court's order, Petitioner shall provide Respondent all information and access necessary for immediate, independent use of any HSA, FSA, health-benefit card, benefits portal, reimbursement system, COBRA portal, pharmacy-benefit portal, and administrator contact process associated with Respondent's coverage.
19. This includes active card access, replacement-card authority, online account access, HSA/FSA PIN codes, username/password information, phone passwords, authorization to speak directly with administrators, receipt-submission access, reimbursement-tracking access, and authority to obtain replacement cards or reimbursement funds directly.
20. Petitioner shall not receive, retain, divert, delay, or control any reimbursement, payment, or benefit arising from Respondent's medical expenses.
21. To the extent Petitioner has received or later receives reimbursement for Respondent's medical expenses, those funds shall be paid to Respondent or deposited into the registry of the Court within 48 hours.
22. Petitioner shall immediately return or fund replacement of all medications, prescription medications, auto-injectors, syringes, medical supplies, ResMed AirSense 10 CPAP, ResMed AirMini CPAP, and all related equipment and pharmacy materials taken, lost, withheld, destroyed, or made inaccessible.

V. EVIDENCE THEFT, LITIGATION-CRITICAL DATA, AND NON-USE RELIEF

23. Respondent supplements his prior preservation requests to clarify that the April 16, 2026 event was not ordinary property loss.
24. This is evidence theft, not ordinary property loss.
25. During or immediately after the April 16, 2026 residence-entry and cleanout event, Petitioner and/or persons acting with her removed, took, destroyed, damaged, or made inaccessible Respondent's hard drives, computers, storage media, camera/network equipment, litigation files, financial records, trial-preparation materials, exhibit repositories, and electronically stored information.
26. The missing storage volume is believed to include approximately 60 TB of hard-drive capacity, much of which was populated with data.
27. These devices contained litigation evidence, financial records, exhibits, trial-preparation materials, work product, professional records, creative materials, communications, videos, photographs, metadata, electronically stored information, and approximately two decades of Respondent's professional and personal data.
28. The removal of these devices created an immediate chain-of-custody crisis.

29. Respondent cannot determine what has been accessed, copied, imaged, uploaded, deleted, altered, searched, disclosed, selectively preserved, selectively destroyed, or used while outside his custody.
30. Respondent requests an immediate preservation and non-use order prohibiting Petitioner, counsel, agents, investigators, vendors, security personnel, movers, family members, representatives, and all persons acting in concert with her from accessing, opening, reviewing, searching, browsing, copying, imaging, cloning, uploading, downloading, transferring, altering, deleting, wiping, formatting, disclosing, publishing, summarizing, quoting, excerpting, selectively preserving, selectively destroying, or using any data, files, records, videos, photographs, communications, financial records, medical records, litigation materials, professional materials, creative materials, or work product taken from or concerning Respondent.
31. Respondent requests a sworn inventory and chain-of-custody disclosure within 24 hours identifying every person or entity who accessed, handled, possessed, transported, copied, imaged, viewed, searched, stored, uploaded, downloaded, transferred, or received any hard drive, computer, storage device, file, data set, cloud credential, recording, document, or electronically stored information taken from or concerning Respondent.
32. Respondent requests neutral forensic sequestration, imaging, preservation, and return of all devices and data.

VI. THIRD-PARTY VENDORS, DIGITAL INTERFERENCE, BINDER INCIDENT, AND FORENSIC REVIEW

33. Respondent requests immediate third-party preservation, forensic review, and targeted subpoena authority concerning vendor activity, digital-access anomalies, exhibit corruption, and the pre-hearing binder incident.
34. The record does not reflect ordinary litigation conduct. It reflects sustained containment behavior, deliberate obstruction, and a pattern of events too coordinated, too disproportionate, and too strategically timed to be dismissed as coincidence.
35. Respondent identified exhibit corruption before key hearings, unauthorized-access indicators, access anomalies, and suspicious timing involving outside "risk," "due diligence," cyber, investigation, reputation, or litigation-support resources.
36. Respondent requests preservation of all electronically stored information, logs, communications, billing records, access records, device records, account records, reports, work product, photographs, video, texts, emails, metadata, tasking instructions, payment records, portal records, upload/download records, remote-session logs, device identifiers, associated IP logs, statements of work, invoices, and engagement materials relating to Jetty Partners, Decisive Resources, Incisive Resources, or any other third-party due-diligence, cybersecurity, investigation, risk, reputation, surveillance, or litigation-support vendor.
37. Respondent further requests leave to serve immediate third-party subpoenas to identify:
 - a. services requested;

- b. dates and times of activity;
 - c. individuals assigned;
 - d. devices, networks, accounts, or portals used;
 - e. reports or deliverables generated;
 - f. communications with Petitioner, counsel, law enforcement, or third parties regarding Respondent;
 - g. any access to Respondent's devices, accounts, cloud storage, files, or litigation exhibits;
 - h. records bearing on unauthorized access, exhibit corruption, remote activity, or litigation-related evidence handling.
38. Respondent further requests preservation and review regarding the pre-hearing binder incident, in which opposing counsel Ethan Scroggins repeatedly attempted to force an unidentified black binder into Respondent's possession despite Respondent's express refusal, then later removed the binder after Respondent identified the issue in open court.
39. Respondent requests that all communications, notes, billing entries, drafts, instructions, witness-contact records, internal communications, and strategy materials concerning the binder incident be preserved and, if privilege is asserted, identified by privilege log or submitted for in-camera review.

VII. SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTION TO PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF JONATHAN DRAKE STEELE AND WITNESS-PROTECTION RELIEF

40. Respondent renews and supplements his objection to the pro hac vice admission or participation of Jonathan Drake Steele.
41. This objection is not based on Mr. Steele being an out-of-state attorney.
42. Respondent objects because Mr. Steele is directly connected to collateral proceedings involving Christopher McNally, a material witness in this case, and his participation creates serious witness-interference, witness-suppression, trial-integrity, and good-cause concerns.
43. Before Respondent understood the broader pattern of Petitioner's conduct, Respondent assisted Mr. Steele and Petitioner in matters directed against Petitioner's former husband, Christopher McNally.
44. Respondent did so before he understood that Petitioner's pattern involved repeated protective-order use, collateral proceedings, narrative control, and litigation pressure against former partners and witnesses.
45. Christopher McNally is a material witness whose testimony is relevant to Petitioner's prior conduct, use of protective-order proceedings, litigation tactics, credibility, pattern evidence, and impeachment.

46. Respondent has previously identified, subpoenaed, or sought testimony from Christopher McNally in this case.
47. Mr. Steele's involvement is prejudicial because he is actively involved in collateral protective-order and fee-enforcement proceedings against Christopher McNally while this Texas trial is pending.
48. Petitioner obtained a protective order against Christopher McNally, later obtained a judgment or fee award arising from proceedings at which Respondent understands Mr. McNally was not present, and Petitioner and/or her counsel are now pursuing enforcement relief, including body-attachment relief, against that same witness.
49. The practical effect is obvious. A material witness in this Texas case is being subjected to collateral enforcement pressure by the same legal apparatus now seeking to enter or participate in this case through pro hac vice admission.
50. If body-attachment relief is pursued or granted, Christopher McNally could be jailed, restrained, intimidated, chilled, or rendered unavailable to testify in Respondent's trial.
51. One week before Respondent's prior bench trial setting in District 254, the same attorney took action in collateral proceedings involving Christopher McNally. Respondent understands that Mr. McNally was arrested under suspicious circumstances the day before that hearing, was not present, and an extension or modification of the protective order was obtained.
52. That order contained language prohibiting communication with Petitioner "by any means including through third parties." The next day, that language was injected into discovery materials in this Texas case and used or positioned in a manner that threatened, restricted, or chilled Mr. McNally's ability to communicate through others or provide testimony.
53. Respondent objects that Mr. Steele's admission or participation would import into this Texas case a collateral witness-pressure structure already used against Christopher McNally.
54. Respondent requests that the Court deny or defer pro hac vice admission of Jonathan Drake Steele until the Court holds a live evidentiary hearing with a court reporter.
55. If the Court permits Mr. Steele to appear in any capacity, Respondent requests strict witness-protection and firewall conditions, including:
 - a. Mr. Steele shall have no direct or indirect contact with Christopher McNally concerning this case, Respondent, Petitioner, testimony, subpoenas, discovery, protective orders, fee enforcement, body attachment, contempt, or any matter that could affect Mr. McNally's availability or willingness to testify;
 - b. Mr. Steele shall not participate in drafting, directing, funding, coordinating, advising, reviewing, pursuing, or communicating about any protective-order enforcement, contempt request, fee-collection request, body-attachment request, warrant request, arrest request, or coercive collateral proceeding involving Christopher McNally while this Texas case remains pending;

- c. Mr. Steele shall not participate in examination, cross-examination, impeachment, witness preparation, witness communications, motion practice, discovery objections, subpoena objections, or trial strategy concerning Christopher McNally;
- d. Petitioner, Mr. Steele, local counsel, out-of-state counsel, agents, investigators, family members, vendors, and intermediaries shall not restrain, threaten, chill, intimidate, pressure, discourage, isolate, financially burden, or procedurally disable Christopher McNally from testifying, communicating with subpoena servers, communicating with court personnel, responding to lawful discovery, appearing remotely, or providing testimony in this case;
- e. Petitioner and counsel shall preserve all communications, emails, texts, call logs, billing entries, drafts, pleadings, proposed orders, fee applications, contempt filings, body-attachment requests, protective-order enforcement materials, settlement communications, witness communications, and third-party communications concerning Christopher McNally;
- f. Petitioner and counsel shall disclose under oath all pending or threatened proceedings, enforcement requests, body-attachment requests, contempt proceedings, fee-collection proceedings, protective-order modifications, or related actions involving Christopher McNally.

VIII. CONTINUED FINANCIAL NONPRODUCTION AND TRIAL-READINESS OBJECTION

- 56. Respondent renews his objection to trial readiness.
- 57. Petitioner has not produced the additional complete financial records, account-continuity records, compensation records, reimbursement records, benefits records, rent/lease records, vendor-payment records, or third-party source records necessary to test her financial claims, sworn disclosures, claimed inability to pay, alleged debts, alleged expenses, benefits-related positions, lease/rent positions, and community-estate positions.
- 58. Respondent cannot fairly try a seven-figure marital-estate case on curated partial production, incomplete statements, missing financial-source records, unidentified third-party vendors, unresolved subpoena leave, and missing or inaccessible evidence repositories.
- 59. Respondent's own production capacity has been materially impaired because his hard drives, computers, storage devices, financial records, litigation files, trial-preparation materials, exhibit repositories, and electronically stored information were removed, damaged, destroyed, discarded, or made inaccessible during or after the April 16, 2026 property/evidence event.
- 60. Petitioner should not be permitted to argue Respondent failed to produce records while Respondent's devices, drives, files, evidence repositories, and financial records remain missing, damaged, inaccessible, or outside his control following the April 16 event.

IX. SANCTIONS, FEE SHIFTING, REIMBURSEMENT, AND NON-OFFSET RELIEF

61. Respondent requests immediate monetary sanctions, fee shifting, reimbursement, and non-offset emergency relief.
62. Grounds include discovery noncompliance, incomplete certified financial production, healthcare noncompliance, evidence theft, April 16 property/evidence destruction, missing trial-preparation materials, vendor nondisclosure, witness-interference risk, and conduct impairing Respondent's ability to prepare for trial.
63. Respondent requests that any emergency stabilization payment, fee-advancement payment, healthcare-enforcement payment, sanction payment, reimbursement payment, or coercive-compliance payment be treated as non-offset emergency relief unless the Court expressly states otherwise in a later written order.

X. TRIAL ABATEMENT / CONTINUANCE

64. Respondent requests that the June 11, 2026 trial be continued or abated and that pretrial deadlines be suspended until the Court first resolves the conditions preventing fair trial.
65. This request is not sought for delay. It is sought so that justice may be done.
66. Good cause exists because:
 - a. Petitioner has not produced complete certified financial source records;
 - b. third-party subpoena leave and subpoena returns remain unresolved;
 - c. Respondent's evidence repositories, hard drives, computers, litigation files, financial records, and trial-preparation materials remain missing, damaged, stolen, inaccessible, or outside his control;
 - d. healthcare enforcement remains unresolved;
 - e. Respondent lacks functional medication, healthcare, transportation, housing, communication, printing, and trial-preparation capacity;
 - f. Respondent lacks funds to retain counsel;
 - g. Petitioner is expanding or attempting to expand her legal apparatus while Respondent remains financially and medically destabilized;
 - h. witness-interference concerns involving Christopher McNally and Jonathan Drake Steele remain unresolved.

XI. REQUEST FOR THURSDAY HEARING COVERAGE AND WRITTEN RULINGS

67. Respondent gives notice that he requests the Court hear and decide the issues in this Supplemental Emergency Notice and Motion at the hearing currently set for Thursday, _____, 2026.
68. Respondent requests a court reporter or other official verbatim record.
69. If the Court denies any requested relief, Respondent requests a written ruling identifying whether denial is based on lack of evidence, lack of legal authority, procedural defect, timing, scope, jurisdiction, mootness, prior ruling, or another stated ground.

XII. PRAYER

1. Respondent respectfully requests that the Court:
 1. hear this Supplemental Emergency Notice and Motion at the Thursday hearing;
 2. order immediate cash stabilization of not less than \$25,000.00;
 3. order interim attorney-fee advancement and litigation-expense funding of not less than \$75,000.00;
 4. enforce healthcare, medication, HSA/FSA, benefits, reimbursement, CPAP, pharmacy, and independent administrator access;
 5. order immediate preservation and non-use of all April 16 evidence, devices, data, hard drives, computers, storage media, litigation materials, financial records, cloud records, copies, images, backups, exports, metadata, and chain-of-custody records;
 6. order sworn inventory and chain-of-custody disclosure within 24 hours;
 7. order neutral forensic sequestration, imaging, preservation, and return;
 8. authorize targeted third-party subpoenas to financial institutions, benefits administrators, vendors, investigators, cyber/reputation/risk/due-diligence entities, movers, security personnel, landlord/property participants, and other custodians;
 9. preserve and permit inquiry into the binder incident, exhibit corruption, digital-access anomalies, vendor activity, and related third-party communications;
 10. deny or defer pro hac vice admission of Jonathan Drake Steele;
 11. alternatively, impose strict witness-protection and firewall conditions preventing Mr. Steele from participating in any matter involving Christopher McNally;

12. prohibit Petitioner, counsel, agents, vendors, family members, investigators, and intermediaries from using collateral protective-order proceedings, fee judgments, contempt proceedings, body-attachment requests, arrest threats, no-contact provisions, or third-party-communication restrictions to prevent, chill, burden, or interfere with Christopher McNally's testimony;
13. order sworn disclosure of all pending, threatened, funded, coordinated, or pursued proceedings against Christopher McNally that could affect his availability, testimony, communication, or willingness to appear;
14. order sanctions, fee shifting, reimbursement, coercive compliance relief, adverse inference, exclusion, contempt, non-offset monetary relief, or other appropriate relief;
15. continue or abate the June 11, 2026 trial;
16. suspend pretrial deadlines until the Court cures the prejudice identified in this supplement;
17. require a court reporter or official verbatim record;
18. issue written rulings identifying the basis for any denial; and
19. grant all other relief to which Respondent is justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jason McKemie". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the name.

Jason McKemie

539 W Commerce St, Ste 2010

Dallas, TX 75208

214-868-4901

jmckemie@mckemie.net

UNSWORN DECLARATION

My name is **Jason McKemie**. My date of birth is **April 8, 1976**. My address is 539 W Commerce St, Ste 2010, Dallas, Texas 75208.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the factual statements in this Supplemental Emergency Notice and Motion are true and correct based on my personal knowledge, my review of the case record, my review of prior filings, my review of prior discovery submissions, my review of the condition of my residence and property after April 16, 2026, my attempts to identify missing, damaged, stolen, destroyed, inaccessible, or removed hard drives and litigation materials, my review of healthcare and benefits access issues, my review of financial and survival conditions, my prior involvement in matters involving Jonathan Drake Steele and Christopher McNally, my review of witness-related issues affecting Christopher McNally, and my review of the docket and prior filings.

This request for continuance and abatement is not sought for delay. It is sought so that justice may be done. The missing testimony, records, devices, financial source records, healthcare records, vendor records, third-party records, chain-of-custody records, witness-protection issues, and forensic evidence are material to trial readiness, financial tracing, credibility, impeachment, rebuttal, property division, sanctions, healthcare enforcement, and preservation of appellate and mandamus rights.

Executed in Dallas County, Texas on **May 15th, 2026**.



Jason McKemie

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of this filing was served on all counsel of record and/or parties entitled to notice through the e-filing/e-service system and/or email in accordance with the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure on **May 15th, 2026**.



Jason McKemie

CERTIFICATE REGARDING EMERGENCY NOTICE AND CONFERENCE IMPRACTICABILITY

This filing is submitted as a supplemental notice of interrelated issues for the hearing already set before Associate Judge Stacy Dunlop on **Thursday, May 21, 2026, at 1:30 p.m.** Respondent is not seeking a new hearing date by this filing. Respondent is identifying interrelated issues and requested relief that arise from pending filings already before the Court.

Because the hearing is already set, trial remains set for June 11, 2026, and the issues involve immediate stabilization, healthcare access, evidence preservation, witness protection, trial readiness, subpoena authority, and counsel funding, further pre-filing conference was not practicable before filing this Notice.

Respondent has repeatedly placed opposing counsel on notice of the underlying issues through prior filings and communications. Respondent will confer regarding hearing logistics, narrowed issues, and any relief that can be resolved without Court intervention as soon as practicable, but immediate filing is necessary to preserve the issues for the May 21, 2026 hearing and prevent any claim of waiver, surprise, lack of notice, or failure to request relief.



Jason McKemie

NO. **DF-24-18010**

IN THE MATTER OF
THE MARRIAGE OF

§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

GWENDOLYN ULIJASZ
&
JASON MCKEMIE

302ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

**SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF TRIAL-READINESS, STABILIZATION,
PRESERVATION, AND WITNESS-PROTECTION ISSUES FOR MAY 21, 2026**

Hearing: Thursday, May 21, 2026 at 1:30 p.m.

Before: Associate Judge Stacy Dunlop

Court: 301st Judicial District Court, Dallas County, Texas

Location: George L. Allen, Sr. Courts Building
600 Commerce Street, 3rd Floor New Tower
Dallas, Texas 75202

Respectfully submitted,



Jason McKemie
539 W Commerce St, Ste 2010
Dallas, TX 75208
214-868-4901
jmckemie@mckemie.net

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the e filing system. The filer served this document via email generated by the e filing system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 114989048

Filing Code Description: Notice Of Hearing / Fiat

Filing Description: SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE FOR MAY 21 HEARING

Status as of 5/18/2026 9:28 AM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Kim Jones		kjones@sullivancook.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Jeffrey ECook		jcook@sullivancook.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Tiffany Castenada		tcastenada@sullivancook.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
William CCook		wcook@sullivancook.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Rebecca LArmstrong		rebecca@armstronglawtexas.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Terra Aguirre		terra@armstronglawtexas.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Chandler Alt		calt@sullivancook.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Lauren N.Shaw		lauren@armstronglawtexas.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Jason McKemie		jmckemie@mckemie.net	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Gwendolyn Uljasz McKemie		GUljasz@gmail.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Gwendolyn Uljasz		guljasz@gmail.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
JONATHAN STEEL		jsteele@beermannlaw.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
Ethan Scroggins		escroggins@sullivancook.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT
JASON EMORYMCKEMIE		jason@callvital.com	5/15/2026 11:58:57 PM	SENT